

Royal College of Art

Research Excellence Framework 2021:

Code of Practice

CONTENTS

Part 1	Introduction	3
1.1	Implementation of principles	4
1.2	Communication of the Code of Practice	6
Part 2	Scope of the Code of Practice	6
Part 3	Equality and Diversity	6
3.1	The Legal Framework	8
3.2	Types of discrimination and definitions	8
3.3	Update on relevant actions taken since REF2014	9
Part 4	Determining Research Independence	10
4.1	Criteria	10
4.2	Process for making and communicating decisions on research independence	11
4.3	Roles and responsibilities of committees and staff	12
4.4	Training for advisory and decision-making staff	15
4.5	Appeals	16
4.6	Equality Impact Assessment	19
Part 5	Selection of Outputs	21
5.1	Principles guiding the RCA's selection of outputs	21
5.2	Processes for identifying and selecting outputs for submission to REF2021	21
5.3	Support for staff preparing and submitting to REF2021	22
5.4	Disclosure of staff circumstances	22
5.5	Process for disclosure of staff circumstances	23
5.6	Communication about the voluntary disclosure of individual circumstances	23
5.7	Confidentiality	24
Annex A	REF2021 Timeline	25
Annex B	REF2021 Timeline for RCA Staff	29

Annex C	Key Definitions	31
Annex D	RCA Committee Structure	33
Annex E	RCA Committees involved in REF2021	34
Annex F	Terms of Reference for key RCA Committees	35
Annex G	RCA REF2021 Output Selection	39
Annex H	Staff Circumstances Guidance and Definitions	40
Annex I	RCA Academic Employment Framework documentation	42
Annex J	RCA Equality & Diversity Policy and Objectives	52
Annex K	RCA REF2021 Appeals Process	61
Annex L	RCA REF2021 Equality Impact Assessments	63

1. INTRODUCTION

Founded in 1837, the Royal College of Art (RCA) is the world's oldest art and design university in continuous operation, and it has been ranked the number one University of Art and Design each year since 2015 in the QS World University Rankings. In REF2014, the RCA submitted a higher percentage of eligible staff than any other specialist art and design university, and it achieved the highest level of research intensity of any submission by a specialist art and design university. Our academic staff and doctoral students undertake original research in art and design, their creativity and entrepreneurship generating tangible benefits for our quality of life, for the creative and cultural life of the UK and beyond, and for businesses, industry and public services

We aim to ensure the RCA remains the best place in the world to do Art and Design research. The Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation Strategy aims to deliver this goal in 2016-21 by:

- Maintaining a research-intensive culture, including submitting 100% of our researchactive staff to the next Research Excellence Framework exercise, and supporting staff to achieve at least 50% of the RCA's submission graded as world leading (4*).
- Generating substantial income from research and knowledge exchange projects, aiming to generate £5m per year in research and knowledge exchange income by 2021.
- Developing our business and industry links, building on our existing relationships with global companies, as well as our engagement with public and third sector organisations, governments and policy makers.
- Ensuring strong support for research career development, across the all career stages from research students to senior academic staff.
- Preparing effectively for the 2021 Research Excellence Framework, providing support and training for staff to develop and complete high-quality research outputs that have demonstrable impact, and ensuring systems are in place to enable the gathering and reporting of robust evidence and data about excellent research outputs, research impact and the research environment.

The College is committed to celebrating diversity, eliminating discrimination and promoting equality of opportunity. It is the College's aim to encourage and support all staff and students to reach their full potential, and to create a positive and inclusive working and learning environment.

This Code of Practice sets out the steps the College will take to ensure that fairness, transparency, equality and diversity are at the heart both of the way we deliver our strategic objectives for research and knowledge exchange, and of the way we prepare our submission for REF2021.

The RCA recognises the importance and value of a Code of Practice which details responsibilities, operating criteria and processes, and provides a framework for decision-making for REF2021 which addresses the following principles:

- a) **Transparency:** All processes for identifying staff with significant responsibility for research (where applicable), determining research independence, and selecting outputs for inclusion in REF submissions should be transparent.
- **b) Consistency:** The principles governing the processes covered by codes of practice should be consistent across the institution.

- c) Accountability: Responsibilities should be clearly defined, and individuals and bodies that are involved i) identifying staff with significant responsibility for research, ii), determining research independence and iii) selecting outputs for REF submissions should be identified by role. Codes should also state what training those who are involved in processes have had.
- **d) Inclusivity:** The processes described in the Code should promote an inclusive environment, enabling institutions to identify all staff who have significant responsibility for research, all staff who are independent researchers, and the excellent research produced by staff across all protected groups.

1.1 Implementation of principles

The implementation of this Code takes account of these principles in the following ways:

a) Transparency:

- The Code will be easily accessible and publicised to all academic staff across the institution, including on relevant College intranet pages and will be sent (by mail) to those on long-term leave (e.g. career breaks, maternity, sickness etc.).
- The Code will be actively disseminated and explained through relevant meetings of committees and groups, as well as Schools, Research Centres and other relevant departments.
- The Code will be presented to staff at lunchtime seminars and other communication events, and staff will be invited to speak to colleagues from the Research Office and Human Resources should they have any questions about the Code of Practice.

b) Consistency:

• The Code of Practice sets out the principles to be applied to all aspects and stages of the process at all levels within the institution where decisions will be made, including how staff circumstances will be taken into account.

c) Accountability:

- This Code identifies who will be involved in: i) determining research independence and ii) selecting outputs for REF submission, and outlines what training those staff will have undertaken.
- The Code describes the operating criteria and terms of reference for individuals, Committees, advisory groups and any other bodies concerned with decisions about research independence and the selection of outputs.

d) Inclusivity:

- The Code seeks to identify all eligible Category A staff for submission to REF2021.
- The Code sets out the arrangements for Equality Impact Assessments (EIA) to determine whether the RCA's processes for determining research independence and output selection for REF2021 may have a differential impact on particular groups by reference to one or more protected characteristic(s). It is recognised that as a small institution, the EIA's analysis will involve smaller numbers which can heavily influence statistical data; however, the EIAs will be key in identifying any unintended consequences of the RCA's processes.

1.2 Communication of the Code of Practice

This Code will be circulated electronically to all staff in June 2019 and will be freely available on the REF2021 pages on the RCA intranet. Paper copies are available on request from the Research Office (rke@rca.ac.uk).

In addition, the Code will be communicated via the following:

- The Director of Research & Innovation, the Head of Research Development and the Deputy Director of HR will communicate the Code of Practice through formal standing Committees and Groups, for approval before submission (May 2019) as well as to provide information and further opportunities for questions after the Code of Practice has been submitted to the funding bodies in June 2019.
- Members of the REF Strategy Committee from the Research Office and HR will present and discuss the Code of Practice and answer questions at the next the Joint Consultative Meeting with the unions. The draft Code of Practice will also be shared with the UCU Regional Officer for information.
- Following submission of the final Code of Practice to the funding bodies, members of the REF Strategy Committee from the Research Office and HR will hold lunchtime seminars on each campus to discuss the Code of Practice and answer questions.
- Members of the REF Strategy Committee from the Research Office and HR will make themselves available to attend School, Research Centre and Departmental meetings to discuss the Code of Practice, such as School Learning & Teaching Committees, research meetings and other relevant meetings
- Taking account of staff preferences and learning differences such as dyslexia and dyspraxia, the REF Strategy Group will provide opportunities for staff to receive information verbally and to ask questions, in addition to circulating documents electronically. This will be offered via drop-in surgery sessions and by appointment with the Head of Research Development and the Deputy Director of HR on an ongoing basis.

2. SCOPE OF THE CODE OF PRACTICE

This Code of Practice is applicable to all staff, both full-time and part-time, whose contracts include the expectation of research activity and management within the terms and conditions of employment.

3. EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY

The RCA's revised (2018) Equality and Diversity Policy outlines the College's commitment to providing an environment free from discrimination, bullying, harassment or victimisation on the grounds of the protected characteristics contained within the 2010 Equality Act where all members of its community are treated with respect and dignity. The College aims to create a culture of diversity within its community, providing a dynamic working and learning environment, where all members are valued for their contribution and individuality.

The College is committed to providing equality of opportunity for all, irrespective of:

- Age
- Disability
- Ethnicity (including race, colour and nationality)
- Gender (including gender reassignment, gender identity, marital status, pregnancy or maternity)
- Religion, belief
- Sexual orientation (including civil partnership status)

The College is also mindful to ensure that those staff who are on fixed-term contracts or who are part-time are not treated less favourably than comparable staff on permanent (open-ended) contracts or who are full-time.

The RCA recognises the valuable contributions to research made by members of its diverse community.

The College is committed to ensuring that all staff have equal access to the full range of institutional facilities. Reasonable adjustments to working practices are considered wherever possible in order to accommodate a more diverse community. This has included implementing recommendations from workplace needs assessments conducted for staff with learning differences such as dyslexia and dyspraxia.

The College's Council has ultimate responsibility for compliance with the College's equality obligations and receive annual reports from the Equality and Diversity Committee. The Chief Operating Officer has overall responsibility for the Equality and Diversity Policy, while the Human Resources and Registry teams ensure the policy is reviewed and revised as necessary.

Following analysis of workforce data and feedback received from both staff and students across the institution, the College has outlined how it will respond to its public sector equality duties through the setting of Equality and Diversity Objectives for 2018-2021. The objectives relating to the workforce are as follows:

Objective 1: Improve gender balance in the workforce Areas of focus include: career progression, attraction and retention strategies, gender pay gap and leadership and governance

Objective 2: Improve the ethnic diversity of the workforce Areas of focus include: career progression practices, attraction and retention strategies and student body, workforce and community profiling

Institutional policies & procedures that promote E&D:

- Academic Employment Framework which includes the Academic Probation Policy
- Equality & Diversity Policy
- Family-friendly policies such as maternity, paternity, shared parental leave, flexible working, career break policy, additional leave,
- Public Interest Disclosure Policy

The whole research community at the College shares responsibility for the successful application of this Code of Practice. Specific responsibility falls to the REF Strategy Group, the Director of

Research and Innovation, and the Deputy Vice-Chancellor in ensuring that decisions related to REF2021 are free from discrimination, and in line with the principles set out in this Code of Practice.

3.1 The Legal Framework

The RCA will comply with all the legal duties placed upon it by:

- the Equality Act 2010
- the Part-time Workers (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2000
- the Employment Act 2002 including Fixed Term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) Regulations 2002 and Fixed Term Employees (Prevention of Less Favourable Treatment) (Amendment) Regulations 2008
- the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998

3.2 Types of discrimination and definitions

Under the Equality Act, there are four main types of discrimination:

- Direct discrimination
- Indirect discrimination
- Harassment
- Victimisation

Direct discrimination: occurs where someone is treated less favourably directly because of:

- a protected characteristic they possess this is ordinary direct discrimination; and /or
- a protected characteristic of someone they are associated with, such as a friend, family member or colleague this is **direct discrimination by association**; and/or
- a protected characteristic they are thought to have, regardless of whether this perception by others is actually correct or not this is **direct discrimination by perception**.

Indirect discrimination: is usually less obvious than direct discrimination and can often be unintended. In law, it is where a provision, criterion or practice is applied to a group of employees (or applicants) but has (or will have) the effect of putting those who share a certain protected characteristic at a particular disadvantage when compared to others without the characteristic in the group, and the employer is unable to justify it.

Harassment: is defined as 'unwanted conduct' and must be related to a relevant protected characteristic or be 'of a sexual nature'. It must also have the purpose or effect of violating a person's dignity or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment for that individual.

Victimisation: is when an employee suffers what the law terms a 'detriment' - something that causes disadvantage, damage, harm or loss - because of:

- Making an allegation of discrimination, and/or
- Supporting a complaint of discrimination, and/or
- Giving evidence relating to a complaint about discrimination, and/or

- Raising a grievance concerning equality or discrimination, and/or
- Doing anything else for the purpose of (or in connection with) the Equality Act 2010

Victimisation may also occur because an employee is suspected of doing one or more of these things.

Taking 'positive action'

Under the Equality Act, an employer can take what the law terms 'positive action' to help employees it thinks:

- Are at a disadvantage because of a protected characteristic, and/or
- Are under-represented in the organisation, or whose participation in the organisation is disproportionately low, because of a protected characteristic and/or
- Have specific needs connected to a protected characteristic.

Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998

The College's Public Interest Disclosure/Whistleblowing Policy provides protection to workers who publicly disclose certain serious concerns such as failure to comply with legal obligations or improper conduct or unethical behaviour.

3.3 Update on relevant actions taken since REF2014

New employment framework for academic staff

The College introduced a new employment framework for academic staff during academic year 2015/16.

The new framework provides protected research time for tutors and senior tutors and replaces the former system of research remission. It also defines roles for all tutors and senior tutors in terms of research and teaching.

Following successful negotiations between the RCA and the Universities and Colleges Union (UCU), and a clear acceptance of the proposals in a consultative ballot of UCU members at the RCA on the proposed new academic terms and conditions, the new academic employment framework (AEF) was implemented in the spring term of the 2015 - 16 academic year.

Through the new academic contract the College aims to ensure that the academic workforce is recognised and rewarded through:

- transfer of academic staff from fixed term contracts to permanent employment;
- guaranteed significant time for research, in consultation with the dean;
- dedicated time for undertaking the activities critical to the future success of the College;
- proportioning the amount of time spent on teaching activities so that individuals and schools can effectively plan their teaching, research and other commitments.
- provide an increased level of protected research time to early career academics.

At its core, the new contract allows the College to introduce a new, more equitable method for allocating research time. Depending on a staff member's category (teaching or research) and grade (Tutor or Senior Tutor), they are entitled to a maximum proportion of total time on teaching

(operating as a ceiling) and a minimum proportion of total time on research (operating as a floor). See table below:

Role	Teaching (ceiling)	Research (floor)
Senior tutor (teaching)	70%	10%
Senior tutor (research)	50%	20%
Tutor (teaching)	70%	10%
Tutor (research)	50%	20%

It is possible for a senior tutor (research) or a tutor (research), to increase the proportion of time spent on research, through a successfully funded project, leaving less time for teaching or other activities. By contrast, it is expected that a senior tutor (teaching) or a tutor (teaching) would always have a minimum threshold of time spent on research, in order to underpin their teaching.

4. DETERMINING RESEARCH INDEPENDENCE

All teaching and research and research-only academic contracts at the RCA include specified time for research. Staff on these contracts are able to access internal research funding, training and development opportunities and other resources intended to support their research. RCA staff on teaching & research or research-only contracts of 0.2 FTE or above are therefore considered to meet the criteria to be Category A eligible researchers with significant responsibility for research, and all such staff will be submitted to REF2021.

4.1 Criteria

Per the REF2021 Guidance on Submissions: Category A eligible staff are defined as academic staff with a contract of employment of 0.2 FTE or greater, on the payroll of the submitting institution on the census date (31 July 2020), whose primary employment function is to undertake 'research only' or 'teaching and research'. Staff should have a substantive research connection with the submitting unit. Staff on 'research only' contracts should meet the definition of an independent researcher. (p. 36, paragraph 117)

Staff with significant responsibility for research are those for whom explicit time and resources are made available to engage actively in independent research, and that is an expectation of their job role. (p. 42, paragraph 138)

It is recognised that it is normal practice in art and design for staff to be employed on minimum fractional contracts (0.2 - 0.29 FTE) with joint appointments with industry or personal practice, and that these minimum fractional contracts represent a substantive connection to the RCA. Staff on these contracts are active participants in the RCA's research environment and are involved in research groups and clusters, research leadership activities and supervision of postgraduate

research students. They engage in teaching, knowledge exchange and research responsibilities as part of their roles and are active members of the RCA's research community.

Staff employed on 'research only' contracts must be independent researchers in order to be considered Category A eligible staff for submission to the REF2021 exercise. Per the REF2021 Guidance on Submissions: For the purposes of the REF, an independent researcher is defined as an individual who undertakes self-directed research, rather than carrying out another individual's research programme. (p. 41, paragraph 131)

The criteria below are being used to identify those research-only staff who are independent researchers and will be returned to the REF2021 exercise as Category A eligible staff. In order to be considered an independent researcher, staff on research-only contracts must fulfil at least two of the criteria below:

- 1. Appointed as the primary or secondary supervisor for doctoral research students
- 2. PI or CoI (or equivalent) on a research project, whether externally or internally funded
- 3. Significant input into and ownership of the design, conduct and interpretation of the research through undertaking self-directed research (rather than carrying out another individual's research programme)
- 4. Leading a research group or leading a substantial research work package within a larger research project, regardless of the funding source.

How is the RCA applying these criteria?

Staff on research-only contracts must fulfil at least two of the criteria above in order to be considered independent researchers to meet the definition of Category A eligible for REF2021.

4.2 Process for making and communicating decisions on research independence

Following the RCA's internal review of REF2014 outcomes, the College committed in the RCA Strategic Plan 2016 - 2021 (published 2015) to submitting at least 90% of all staff. In light of Lord Nicholas Stern's Research Excellence Framework Review (2016), the REF consultation process (2018), and the publication of the final REF2021 guidance (2019), the RCA committed to submitting 100% of Category A eligible staff to REF2021.

The RCA established the REF Strategy Group in 2016 to lead preparations for REF2021. The REF Strategy Group has issued regular updates to staff via intranet bulletins and newsletters, and the Director of Research & Innovation (Chair of the REF Strategy Group) and the Head of Research Development have provided regular REF preparation updates, including the RCA's intention to submit 100% of Category A eligible staff, to Deans of Schools, Research Centre Directors and RCA staff at standing committee meetings (Senate, Academic Standards Committee, Senior Management Team meetings, Deans' Group meetings, Research & Knowledge Exchange Strategy Committee, Research & Knowledge Exchange Operational Committee).

The process for making and communicating decisions on research independence for staff on research-only contracts will be:

• Deans and Research Centre Directors will meet with staff on research-only contracts in autumn/winter 2019 to discuss whether they meet the criteria for independent

researchers. Deans and Directors will communicate decisions about research independence to research-only staff (individually) after consulting with the REF Strategy Advisory Sub-group.

- Deans and Research Centre Directors will receive REF-specific equality & diversity training and will be briefed on the established criteria for research independence prior to meeting with their staff who are on research-only contracts. (Please see below for more information on the REF-specific equality and diversity training which will be provided to all staff involved in REF2021 decision-making.)
- The appeals process will be communicated at the time of decision for any staff who believe that the process and criteria as set out in this Code of Practice were not applied appropriately in judging their research independence. Please see section 4.5 below for more information about the RCA REF2021 Appeals Process.

4.3 Roles and responsibilities of committees and staff

This section sets out the roles and responsibilities of staff and RCA Committees involved in the preparation and approval of the submission for REF2021. It focuses on responsibilities in respect of the inclusion or non-inclusion of individual staff members within the RCA's submission.

Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation Strategy Committee

The Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation (RKEI) Strategy Committee has overall responsibility for the RCA's REF2021 submission and will endorse the REF2021 submission (including approval of this Code of Practice) prior to final approval by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Provost.

The RKEI Strategy Committee comprises the following staff:

- Director of Research & Innovation (Chair)
- Deputy Vice-Chancellor & Provost
- Director of Communications & Marketing
- Director of Finance
- Director of HR
- Director of IT, Library and Technology Services
- Head of Research Centres
- Head of Research Development
- Head of Research Programmes
- Head of Knowledge Exchange
- Head of Executive Education
- Director of InnovationRCA
- 1x Research Centre Director
- 4x Deans of Schools

Secretariat is provided by the Research & Knowledge Exchange Administrator. Membership is comprised of senior staff in relevant Professional Services departments as well as representative senior staff in the academic Schools, Research Centres and InnovationRCA to develop and implement the RCA's Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation (RKEI) Strategy and related policies. The RKEI Strategy Committee also advises Senate on the development and implementation of the RKEI Strategy and related policies, including any related to the College's REF2021 preparation and submission, such as this Code of Practice. (For more information

about the RKEI Strategy Committee, please see Annex F.)

REF Strategy Group

The REF Strategy Group makes recommendations about the RCA's REF2021 strategy and advises on preparation of the REF2021 submission. REF Strategy Group reports to the Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation Strategy Committee via the Director of Research & Innovation. The REF Strategy Group acts in both an advisory capacity (e.g. advising Deans and Research Centre Directors on the application of the criteria for research independence) and in a decision-making capacity (e.g. the final decision on outputs to be included in the RCA's REF2021 submission will be made by the REF Strategy Group).

REF Strategy Group membership:

- Director of Research & Innovation (Chair)
- Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Provost
- Head of Research Development
- 2x Deans of Schools
- Research Centre Director
- Deputy Director of HR
- Director of IT, Library and Technology Services
- Director of Communications & Marketing
- External member with significant REF panel experience
- RCA Research Professor (with specific responsibility for REF)
- RCA Council Member

with the provision to co-opt senior member(s) of academic staff as required. All meetings are minuted by the Research & Knowledge Exchange Administrator and are attended by the Research Information Manager.

Academic staff appointed to the group are primarily senior staff within the RCA who either have extensive research experience and/or experience of previous research assessment exercises. Professional services staff appointed to the group are senior staff within the RCA who will be involved in the RCA's preparation of and submission to REF2021.

The REF Strategy Group reports to and is accountable to the RCA's RKEI Strategy Group and the Senior Management Team; its activities and decisions are also disseminated to the RCA's Deans' Group.

Members of the REF Strategy Group are involved in working sub-groups focusing on particular operational, advisory and decision-making aspects of the RCA's REF2021 submission. These sub-groups consist of members of the main REF Strategy Group but with the provision to co-opt members of academic staff as required. All staff involved in the REF Strategy Group, as well as any staff co-opted for REF Strategy Working Sub-groups will receive REF-specific equality and diversity training (as detailed below).

Responsibilities:

 Agree the RCA's REF2021 strategy (informed by the RKEI Strategy 2016-2021 and RCA Strategy 2016-2021)

- Establish milestones leading up to the REF2021 submission
- Oversee sub-groups focussing on specific issues, e.g. establishing repository guidance and training; developing the RCA's Code of Practice for REF2021
- Develop REF2021 guidance materials
- Establish a Gantt chart/timeline for activities leading to the REF2021 submission
- Approval of RCA Code of Practice for agreement by RKEI Strategy Committee and Senate (with noting by Academic Standards Committee and Equality & Diversity Committee)
- Advisory and decision-making responsibilities with regards to determining independent researcher status, final selection of outputs and impact case studies, and final oversight of the RCA's environment statement

Ways of working:

- Established 2016
- The REF Strategy Group will generally meet once per month, with the frequency changing closer to the REF submission date.

The remit of the REF Strategy Group is to:

- Provide leadership for the RCA's participation in and submission to REF2021;
- Determine RCA REF2021 planning and ensure its effective communication throughout the College;
- Assure the quality and robustness of the RCA's submission to REF2021, including approving and ensuring the implementation of the Code of Practice on applying the criteria for research independence for staff on research-only contracts, the selection of outputs, the final selection of impact case studies, and approval of the RCA's environment statement(s);
- Discuss and agree outputs to be included in the RCA's REF2021 submission, with due advice from external assessors if appropriate. Final approval of the outputs for inclusion in the RCA's REF2021 submission lies with the Director of Research & Innovation.

Staff involved in advisory and decision-making roles

Director of Research & Innovation:

- Providing leadership in preparation for REF2021;
- Chairing the REF Strategy Group;
- Overseeing communication with regards to the RCA's REF2021 strategy and preparation;
- Overseeing the preparation of the RCA's Code of Practice, including the criteria for research independence, and advising the Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Provost on recommendations made in this regard.

Head of Research Development:

- Assisting the Director of Research & Innovation on and providing management for the preparation of the REF2021 submission;
- Assisting with the preparation of the RCA's Code of Practice, including the criteria for research independence, and advising the Director of Research & Innovation on

recommendations made in this regard;

- Assisting the Director of Research & Innovation with communicating the RCA's REF2021 preparation and strategy;
- Coordinating, with the Research Information Manager, information gathering, output and data collection;
- Managing and overseeing data entry and upload using the REF2021 submission system.

Deans of Schools, Research Centre Directors, and Departmental Directors who are responsible for teaching & research staff:

- Advising staff in their School/Centre/Department with regard to the REF2021 processes;
- Assisting the Director of Research & Innovation on the preparation of the REF2021 submission;
- Meeting with staff on research-only contracts to discuss their status as independent researchers per the criteria in this Code of Practice and advising the Director of Research and REF Strategy Group in this regard;
- Information gathering, output and data collection.

Deputy Director of HR:

- Assisting with the preparation of the RCA's Code of Practice;
- Communication of Code of Practice and staff circumstances processes;
- Managing the voluntary declaration of staff circumstances processes;
- Advice and guidance on equality & diversity matters;
- Organising for EIAs to be undertaken, ensuring findings reported to relevant Committees/Groups and that EIAs inform ongoing development of the Code.

External Assessors

Where an external assessor contributes to the selection process, they will be fully briefed on the need to take account of this Code of Practice and provided with a copy of the Code before undertaking their assessment. External assessors will not act in a decision-making capacity regarding research independence or the selection of outputs or impact case studies, nor will they be provided any information about individual staff circumstances. If used, external assessors will be asked to comment on the quality of outputs, impact case studies or the RCA's environment statement only.

4.4 Training for advisory and decision-making staff

All relevant staff (including external assessors) involved in advisory, decision-making and appeals committees and processes as outlined in this Code of Practice have, or will have undertaken, standard unconscious bias training either by attending a course on campus, or by completing the course available via an e-learning module on the College's intranet. As with the face-to-face training, the e-learning module course defines and explores unconscious bias. It looks at the different types of unconscious bias that exist and the steps that individuals can take to reduce unconscious bias in the workplace.

In addition, all relevant staff will undertake further equality and diversity training with a REFspecific context and will include case studies provided in a face-to-face session on campus. This training is scheduled to take place late summer/early autumn 2019, prior to the exercise for establishing research independence for research-only staff and the RCA's formal internal REF2021 quality assessment exercise, which will take place in late winter 2019/early winter 2020.

4.5 Appeals

This section sets out the procedure through which the RCA will respond to any appeal arising out of ineligibility due to decisions taken about research independence, investigating them in a fair and transparent manner. All appeals and consequential outcomes will be monitored and reported through the Director of Research & Innovation and the Deputy Director of HR to the REF Strategy Group. It should be noted that there is no right of appeal against the academic or strategic judgement of those responsible for selecting staff members for inclusion in the REF submission unless there are grounds for thinking that the judgement was exercised unfairly or in contravention of the principle of equality.

As the RCA will be submitting all Category A eligible staff members to REF2021, research-only members of staff who are deemed not to meet the established criteria for research independence will not be considered Category A eligible staff and will not be submitted to REF2021. Those research-only staff not considered to be independent researchers are able to appeal the decision if they believe the reasons behind the decision have not met the established criteria in this Code of Practice.

All Category A eligible staff will be formally notified of their inclusion in the RCA's REF2021 submission in autumn/winter 2019 to coincide with the RCA's formal internal REF2021 quality assessment exercise. Members of staff on research-only contracts will be invited to meet with their Deans or Research Centre Directors early autumn 2019 to discuss their roles and whether they meet the established criteria for research independence. Deans and Directors will make recommendations to the REF Strategy Group about the eligibility of research-only staff for REF2021 submission as independent researchers, and research-only staff will be provided feedback following this process as well as a decision about their eligibility as Category A independent researchers. Research-only staff will receive this feedback by late autumn 2019.

For each appeal the RCA receives from a research-only member of staff not decided to be an independent researcher by their Dean/Director, the REF2021 Appeals Committee will review the basis of the appeal in detail. Where an appeal is partially or fully upheld, the College will seek to implement a solution that is fair and proportionate while ensuring the continuing maintenance of the Code of Practice. Where an appeal is not upheld, the College will explain its decision clearly, and guide the appellant through the process. No staff will be disadvantaged by virtue of having made an appeal.

The principles of the REF2021 appeals process are that the process is fair, efficient and transparent. The process provides for two stages. These are: (a) Stage One: Formal, detailed consideration of the appeal (b) Stage Two: Review of the decision made at Stage One and confirmation of the final decision of the College.

Any appeal must be made by the staff member themselves; this process is not intended for use by a third party to make an appeal on behalf of a staff member.

Once a staff member has made an appeal, records will not be held on the staff member's file by

HR, but kept securely in a separate appeals file held within the Research Office. Records will be retained as required for a reasonable period. This is to ensure that the College can make an informed response to any request from the UK funding bodies for a review of the decision as well as for REF2021 audit purposes.

The REF2021 appeals process may not be used to appeal against academic decisions about inclusion or exclusion of outputs or about any issues which should be taken under the College's complaints or misconduct policies; these policies contain their own provisions for reviews of decisions. In addition, the UK funding bodies will offer a robust and independent process that will duly consider complaints about the implementation of the RCA's Code of Practice that cannot be satisfactorily resolved through the College's appeals process. Complaints may be made by individuals directly affected by the (non-)implementation of an HEI's Code of Practice or by those outside the process who have reason to believe that an institution has breached its approved Code of Practice. The funding bodies aim to develop a process that enables individuals to provide information confidentially. Further details of this process will be set out by the funding bodies in autumn 2019, and the RCA will ensure these details are made available to staff at that time.

Appeals will only be accepted outside of these timescales if there is a good reason for the delay; such complaints will be accepted only at the discretion of the Director of Research & Innovation.

The RCA shall endeavour to respond to appeals within the timescales outlined. On occasion it may be necessary to extend these deadlines; it is not possible to gauge, for all cases, how long an investigation into the issues may take, and on occasion there may be circumstances beyond the College's control that prevent the appeal being considered in accordance with the stated timescales. In such cases, revised deadlines will be communicated clearly to all parties.

Stage One: Formal Consideration

Staff members may submit a Stage One appeal within 10 working days from the receipt of the decision regarding their research independence and REF eligibility. Staff should send their appeal and any supporting evidence to rke@rca.ac.uk.

The only grounds on which an appeal may be considered are:

(a) that there was an internal administrative error;

(b) that the assessment of the individual's status as an independent researcher was not conducted in accordance with the College's REF2021 Code of Practice;

(c) that some other material irregularity relevant to the decision occurred.

Disagreement with the academic judgement of the REF Strategy Group with regards to output selection does not constitute grounds for appeal.

The Director of Research & Innovation will review the appeal, and may refer the appeal back to the staff member with a request for more evidence before proceeding. If there are no grounds for the appeal that accord with this Code of Practice, then the appeal shall be rejected; the appellant shall be directed to the provisions for a review of the Director of Research & Innovation's decision under Stage Two in such cases.

Where an arguable case in accordance with this Code of Practice is established by the appellant,

the Director of Research & Innovation shall refer the appeal to the Dean or Director of the staff member as well as the REF Strategy Sub-group responsible for providing advice on deciding research independence. The Dean or Director may, at that point: (a) Recommend to the Director of Research & Innovation that the appeal be upheld and that the decision should be amended; (b) Confirm the original decision on the basis that the case and / or evidence are not sufficient to warrant a revised decision.

The Dean or Director may request further evidence from the staff member, and may also consult with members of the REF Strategy Advisory Sub-group, before reaching their decision. The Dean or Director shall report their final decision to the Director of Research & Innovation within 10 working days of the receipt of the appeal.

Following receipt of the Dean/Director's decision, the staff member may choose to proceed to Stage 2 with their appeal by confirming their intention to the Director of Research & Innovation within 10 working days of the receipt of the decision via email (to rke@rca.ac.uk).

Stage Two: Review

If the staff member chooses to proceed to Stage 2 with their appeal to request a review of the Stage 1 decision and the process of reaching the decision, then the Director of Research & Innovation shall convene the REF2021 Appeals Committee to hear the appeal. The Committee will be convened within 15 working days of the receipt of the staff member's intention to proceed to Stage 2.

The RCA REF2021 Appeals Panel:

- Director of Academic Development (Chair)
- Chief Operating Officer and Chair of RCA Equality & Diversity Committee
- School or Departmental General Manager

Any request for review at Stage Two must be made on one or more of the following grounds: (a) That the provisions of this Code of Practice were not correctly applied;

(b) That the final outcome is manifestly unjust and cannot be reasonably sustained;

(c) That evidence has become available that could not reasonably have been presented at Stage One of the process, and that may arguably have led to a different outcome.

Please note that in respect to (b) above, a case must be made for the decision being manifestly unjust; the staff member simply disagreeing with the outcome is not sufficient.

The Chair of the REF2021 Appeals Committee will consider the case made by the staff member, and may seek additional evidence, either from the parties to the appeal or from any other source.

The REF2021 Appeals Committee may either uphold or reject the Director of Research & Innovation's original decision. The appellant will be notified of the decision within 5 working days of the Panel meeting. This decision shall be the final decision on behalf of the RCA.

Funding Bodies' Complaints Process

The funding bodies will develop an independent complaints process for appeals regarding the implementation of higher education institutions' codes of practice which cannot be satisfactorily resolved through the institution's appeals process. The funding bodies will provide further details

on this process in autumn 2019. Individuals (whether directly affected by the non-implementation of the institution's code of practice or not) who believe that an institution has breached its approved code of practice will be able to make complaints and provide information confidentially. Please note that this process will not accept individual complaints that challenge the adequacy of the approved code of practice itself.

4.6 Equality Impact Assessment

An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) will be conducted at key points in the RCA's REF2021 preparation, including:

- Informal internal quality assessment (winter 2018/spring 2019)
- Application of research independence criteria for research-only staff (autumn 2019)
- Formal internal quality assessment (late winter 2019/early winter 2020)
- Final output selection and submission (November 2020)

The purpose of conducting the EIAs is identify whether there is a differential impact on particular groups by reference to one or more protected characteristics (as outlined in the 'Equality and Diversity' section above). As a small specialist institution, EIAs within the RCA will involve smaller numbers of staff which could heavily influence percentages reported in statistical data, so the EIAs will reflect this, where appropriate, highlighting instances that have a marked impact for particular groups. The RCA will investigate should any *prima facie* imbalance be found.

EIAs will be undertaken by a relevant member of staff within HR and, in line with best practice, will involve members of the College's Equality and Diversity Committee who fall within particular protected characteristics as a means of informing the EIA. The findings of the EIAs will:

- be reported to the Equality and Diversity Committee and the REF Strategy Group;
- inform the ongoing development of this Code of Practice; and
- be kept under review as the submission is prepared.

The EIAs should enable the College to identify where discrimination may inadvertently be occurring within our REF processes. They will also enable the College to identify where a particular policy or practice has a positive impact on the advancement of equality or where there is an opportunity to take a step that will have a positive impact.

The ongoing monitoring process is an important way of determining whether anti-discrimination measures taken, such as this Code of Practice, are effective. It plays an important part in ensuring that equality of opportunity is a reality within the RCA.

EIAs undertaken as part of the College's preparations and submission to REF2014, highlighted the following areas for consideration and action:

• **Ethnicity:** The lack of ethnic minorities represented within the group of eligible staff who could be and, who subsequently were, submitted to the last REF was identified as a concern in the 2014 EIA. The lack of BME staff within the College has been discussed at the College's Equality and Diversity Committee with a view to developing strategies to address this imbalance. As referred to above, this has since been identified as one of the primary E&D objectives to be addressed for 2018 - 2021.

- **Early Career Researchers (ECRs):** Although REF2014 was considered demonstrably supportive of Early Career Researchers with a lower number of outputs being permitted, the College deemed there to be a negative impact on this group as there was a significant lower number of staff employed whose work would be at a sufficient standard to be submitted, i.e. to meet the REF quality threshold. Through the introduction of a new academic employment framework (AEF) in early 2016, the College affords more time (i.e. up to 2 years) to newly appointed early career academics to produce at least one 3* research output as well as more protected time within their contract of employment to spend on research activity, i.e. 10% more than experienced colleagues.
- Part-time staff: out of 78% of eligible part-time staff who could be submitted to REF2014, only 50% were submitted with the other 50% coming from 22% of full-time eligible staff. This suggested that part-time staff either lacked capacity within their contracted hours to produce outputs or to produce outputs that met the REF quality threshold. The introduction of the new academic employment framework (AEF) was intended to address this, by providing protected time for research. In addition, over recent years the College has sought to avoid introducing such small fractional contracts (i.e. those at 0.2FTE) and, wherever possible, to increase the FTE of those members of staff on that level of fractional contract, while also supporting those staff that are on fractional contracts because they are also practicing artists, designers, architects and similar outside of their work at the College. The College seeks to support part-time staff in their professional development and research activities by scheduling staff development events on numerous occasions and on different days of the working week to provide ample opportunity to staff to take advantage of training and development opportunities. Parttime staff also have access to the full range of Research Office support, including internal research and knowledge exchange funding opportunities and support to develop proposals for external funding.
- Fixed-term contracts: prior to the introduction of the academic employment framework (AEF), the College's long-term practice was to offer academic positions on a fixed term basis, i.e. for up to 3 years. The expiry of these contracts were reviewed at the appropriate time and a decision taken as to whether they should be renewed or not. After 4 years, such staff would be made permanent, however, at the time of the last REF, the total number of eligible staff on permanent and fixed term contracts was split 50/50. Slightly more permanent staff were submitted to REF2014 compared to those on fixed term contracts but overall the statistics indicated that the College did not differentiate between staff on permanent or fixed term contracts in supporting and recognising their research. Contracts of employment under the AEF are permanent. The College seeks to ensure that fixed term contracts are offered for objectively justifiable reasons such as, maternity cover, long-term sickness or back-fill for contracted staff who are 'acting-up' into a promoted position e.g. for an academic term or year. Those staff on fixed term contracts are afforded the same level of protected time for research as permanent staff. Staff on fixed term contracts are also invited to staff development events to support their professional development and research activities and are eligible to access internal funding to support their research and knowledge exchange activities.

5. SELECTION OF OUTPUTS

5.1 Principles guiding the RCA's selection of outputs

- All Category A eligible staff will be asked to submit their highest quality output (minimum of 1 requirement). The remainder of the RCA's submission will be comprised of the highest quality outputs produced by Category A eligible members of staff, up to a maximum of 5 outputs each.
- Only in exceptional circumstances will outputs from former members of staff be considered for the RCA's REF2021 submission. These circumstances could include staff who leave their employment (but are not made redundant) at the RCA very close to the REF2021 submission deadline or former staff who have made a substantial contribution to the RCA's body of research and whose research reflects the current research ambitions of the RCA as well as that of the RCA's Schools and Research Centres. The RCA does not intend to submit outputs from former members of staff who have been made redundant.
- Where there are multiple outputs deemed to be the same quality level at the threshold of the RCA's submission requirement (2.5 outputs x total Category A eligible staff FTE on the census date), the REF Strategy Group will make academic and strategic decisions on which outputs to submit.
- If an output which is subject to the REF Open Access Policy (journal articles and conference contributions with an ISBN) is deemed to be high quality but has not met the requirements of the REF Open Access Policy, then it will be the decision of the REF Strategy Group whether to include the output in the RCA's submission weighed against the 5% non-compliance threshold.
- Submissions to REF2021 are intended to be institutional submissions, reflecting the quality of the institution's research and culture as a whole, rather than the quality of individual research. However, the REF Strategy Group and Research Office will communicate to Deans and Research Centre Directors, as appropriate, the final list of outputs from their areas included in the RCA's REF2021 submission.

5.2 Processes for identifying and selecting outputs for submission to REF2021

- The RCA will undertake a Mock REF exercise in autumn/winter 2019 to begin to identify the highest quality outputs in the RCA's collective pool of outputs.
- Staff will be invited to submit their highest quality output, alongside up to 4 outputs, to the Mock REF. A minimum of 2 assessors will review each output (a mixture of experienced REF assessors and newly trained assessors, with the intention for assessors to mainly be internal staff) and assign a quality rating (1* to 4* or Unclassified).
- Staff will be provided with formal feedback following the Mock REF in spring 2020.
- A final output selection exercise will take place in summer/autumn 2020 to form the submission for November 2020 and to ensure that the RCA has identified the correct number of outputs for staff (minimum of 1, maximum of 5, taking into account any double-weighted outputs) and the correct number of outputs required for the submission. (Total FTE x 2.5)
- The final list of outputs for submission will be reviewed by the REF Strategy Group in summer/autumn 2020 and approved by the RKEI Strategy Committee.

For more details of the staff, committees and training involved in selection of outputs, please see

the previous section.

5.3 Support for staff preparing and submitting to REF2021

- An internal quality exercise took place in early 2019 to provide support and guidance on the development of outputs. Staff were asked to submit 1 or 2 outputs to the exercise, with reviewers with previous experience as REF panellists (2 internal members of staff as well as a Visiting Professor and a recently retired Professor) providing indicative quality ratings and comments on areas for improvement to strengthen outputs. Feedback was provided to the Schools and Research Centres to inform discussions about next steps with individual staff members with regards to their continued development of their REF outputs.
- Schools, Research Centres and other submitting departments will work collaboratively with their staff to identify their highest quality outputs to be considered for submission to REF2021.
- Training sessions and surgeries will continue to be offered through the final output selection exercise in summer/autumn 2020 to provide staff with advice, guidance and support in preparing their outputs and supporting materials for submission.

5.4 Disclosure of staff circumstances

As a key measure to support equality and diversity in research careers, the funding bodies (including HEFCE) have put in place processes to recognise the effect that an individual's circumstances may have on their productivity. Following advice by the Equality and Diversity Advisory Panel (EDAP), the following equality-related circumstances have been identified that, in isolation or together, may significantly constrain the ability of submitted staff to produce outputs or to work productively throughout the assessment period (for more in-depth definitions, please see Annex H):

- a. Qualifying as an Early Career Researcher (ECR)
- b. Absence from work due to secondments or career breaks outside the HE sector
- c. Qualifying periods of family-related leave
- d. Circumstances with an equivalent effect to absence, that require judgement about the appropriate reduction in outputs are:
 - i. Disability
 - ii. Ill-health, injury, or mental health conditions
 - iii. Constraints relating to pregnancy, or maternity, in addition to a clearly defined period of maternity leave
 - iv. Other caring responsibilities (such as caring for an elderly or disabled family member)
 - v. Gender reassignment
 - vi. Other circumstances related to the protected characteristics outlined under the 'Equality and Diversity' section of this Code of Practice, or relating to activities protected by employment legislation

For staff who have experienced individual circumstances that have affected their ability to produce research outputs during the REF2021 period (1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020), it may be possible to remove the requirement to submit a minimum of one output for REF2021. This would be the case if the staff member's circumstances meant that they had not been able to

produce any eligible outputs during the submission period. An 'eligible' output is one that meets the REF2021 definition of research (please see Annex C) and the requirement for submission, and is not a reference to the quality of the output. If staff have experienced circumstances that meet the criteria above, but have nevertheless been able to produce at least one eligible research output within the required timeframe, then it will not be possible to remove the requirement to submit the minimum of one output, regardless of the quality of that output.

5.5 Process for Disclosure of Staff Circumstances

- The College will use the standard REF2021 template for disclosing circumstances.
- The HR team will invite staff to declare voluntarily and confidentially any circumstances that may have affected their ability to produce research outputs within the REF2021 timeframes.
- The HR team will issue the template to all staff (including to those staff who are absent from College for whatever reason).
- HR will also provide background information including what the disclosure of circumstances is for, how it will be used, who will see it, what the deadline is, and other relevant information.
- HR will send periodic reminders to all staff about the declaration form and process up until the deadline (to account for any staff who join after the initial email is sent).
- Staff will be able to email their completed forms confidentially to HR, or to submit them in person to HR, whichever is most appropriate.
- All forms will be provided in strict confidence to a small subset of the REF Strategy Group, led by Deputy Director of HR. This subgroup will assess the effect the declared circumstances have had on the ability of individual staff members to research productively throughout the period, in order to determine if a request should be made for the removal of the minimum requirement of one output, in accordance with the REF2021 Guidance on Submissions document.
- Any staff who are determined to have experienced circumstances which have affected their ability to research productively throughout the period and who have no eligible outputs will be notified of the removal of the requirement of a minimum of one output as soon as the REF Strategy Group has reached a decision. For some complex circumstances, the REF Strategy Group may need to seek further advice and approval from the funding bodies' REF team before the decision can be communicated.
- The RCA will submit to a single unit of assessment (UoA32: Art and Design: History, Practice and Theory). The submission will be of a sufficient size to allow the College to absorb any reductions in output at the individual staff level. We therefore do not intend to seek any unit-wide reductions in the number of outputs we are required to submit to REF2021.

5.6 Communication about the voluntary disclosure of individual circumstances

- Drop-in sessions will be offered by HR and the Research Office at which they will explain the REF disclosure process and offer staff the opportunity to have a confidential 1:1 discussion with whomever they feel most comfortable discussing their questions and/or concerns.
- Lunchtime seminars will be offered to explain the process to small groups of staff, in order to provide additional opportunities for staff to ask questions about the process and what it means for their involvement in the REF2021 submission.

5.7 Confidentiality

The College will ensure confidentiality for all staff members who disclose individual circumstances in the context of REF2021. Any such information disclosed voluntarily will be protected and processed in line with GDPR (General Data Protection Regulations) as incorporated into UK law through the Data Protection Act 2018. The information disclosed will be used to decide on the removal of the minimum requirement of one output for the staff member concerned and will be shared only with the minimum necessary number of those members of staff who have decision-making responsibilities for REF2021 as outlined in section 4.3 above.

ANNEX A: REF2021 TIMELINE

	REF2021 Timeline	RCA Timeline
June 2016		REF Strategy Group formed
September 2017	Publication of 'Initial decisions on the Research Excellence Framework' by the funding bodies, following consultation on implementation of the Stern review recommendations (REF 2017/01)	
October 2017	Publication of 'Roles and recruitment of expert panels' (REF 2017/03)	Demystifying the REF and How to Improve Your Research Profile training sessions begin
November 2017	Publication of 'Decisions on staff and outputs' (2017/04)	
February 2018		REF 300 Word Descriptor and Portfolio Training and Surgery sessions begin
March 2018	Panel membership for criteria phase announced	Internal RCA REF Survey deadline to inform REF Strategy Group about potential staff outputs
July 2018	Publication of draft 'Guidance on submissions' and 'Panel criteria'	
September 2018		Impact Stocktake and support for REF impact case studies begins
October 2018	Close of consultation on draft 'Guidance on submissions' and 'Panel criteria'	
January 2019	Publication of final 'Guidance on submissions', 'Panel criteria', and 'Guidance on codes of practice'; appointment of additional EDAP members	
Early-Mid 2019		Informal internal quality assessment takes place to provide

		constructive feedback on staff outputs and 300 word descriptors for further development	
May 2019		REF Strategy Group finalise Code of Practice and communicating CoP to: Academic Standards Committee; Equality & Diversity Committee; Research, Knowledge Exchange and Innovation Strategy Committee (for sign-off); Senate (chaired by Vice Chancellor; formal approval 29 May 2019).	
Noon on 7 June 2019	Code of Practice submission deadline	RCA to submit final Code of Practice.	
Spring/Summer 2019	Invitation to request multiple submissions, case studies requiring security clearance, and exceptions to submission for small units (staggered deadlines in May, September and	Share Code of Practice with Joint Consultative Committee and local UCU representative.	
	December 2019); beta versions of the submission system will be available in both test and live environments for institutions to use	Code of Practice information sessions and events to be offered by Research Office and HR.	
		Preparation continues of outputs, impact case studies and environment statement.	
		Portfolio and 300-word descriptor training continues.	
		Equality Impact Assessment to coincide with completion of informal quality assessment.	
Autumn 2019	Pilot of the REF submission system; survey of submissions intentions opens; proposed date for inviting reduction requests for staff circumstances (proposed deadline is March 2020)	REF-specific Equality & Diversity Training, including Unconscious Bias, delivered to all RCA staff involved in REF decision-making and advisory capacities.	
	March 2020). EDAP to request revisions to Codes of Practice as necessary.	Deans, Directors and Department Heads meet with staff on research-only contracts (following training) to discuss REF eligibility (research independence).	
		Equality Impact Assessment undertaken to coincide with	

		research independence discussions with staff on research- only contracts. Following approval by the funding bodies and the external Equality & Diversity Advisory Panel, RCA to communicate final Code of Practice to staff more widely through lunchtime seminars, joining School and Research Centre meetings, offering 1:1 discussions. Staff invited to voluntarily disclose to HR individual circumstances which may significantly constrain their ability to produce outputs or work productively during the assessment period. Provisional deadline January 2020.
December 2019	Survey of submissions intentions complete; final deadline for requests for multiple submissions, case studies requiring security clearance, and exceptions to submission for small units; publication of approved codes of practice . Approved Codes of Practice to be published by end of 2019.	
Winter 2019/20		RCA's formal mock REF Assessment Exercise to take place.
Early 2020	Formal release of the submission systems and accompanying technical guidance; invitation to HEIs to make submissions; invitation to nominate panel members and assessors for the assessment phase; deadline for staff circumstances requests	Provisional deadline for staff to voluntarily disclose individual circumstances (January 2020).
Mid 2020	Appointment of additional members and assessors to panels	Final decision on output selection (Director of Research & Innovation, in consultation with REF Strategy Group). Equality Impact Assessment to be undertaken to coincide with final output selection.
31 July 2020	Census date for staff; end of assessment period (for	

	research impacts, the research environment, and data about research income and research doctoral degrees awarded)	
27 November 2020	Closing date for submissions	Equality Impact Assessment to be undertaken on final REF2021 submission.
31 December 2020	End of publication period (cut-off point for publication of research outputs, and for outputs underpinning impact case studies)	
29 January 2021	Deadline for providing further details for outputs pending publication; redacted versions of impact case studies; and corroborating evidence held for impact case studies	
2021	Panels assess submissions	

ANNEX B: REF2021 TIMELINE FOR RCA STAFF

×



ANNEX C: KEY DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition			
Assessment period	Research impacts, the research environment and data about research income and research doctoral degrees awarded must fall within the assessment period: 1 August 2013 to 31 July 2020.			
Census date The date on which staff must be in post at the submitting instimet the eligibility criteria to be returned as Category A subm 31 July 2020.				
Category A eligible staff	Academic staff with a contract of employment of 0.2 FTE or greater, on the payroll of the submitting institution on the census date, and whose primary employment function is to undertake either 'research only' or 'teaching and research'. Staff should have a substantive connection with the submitting institution. Staff on 'research only' contracts should meet the definition of an independent researcher. Staff meeting these criteria will form the total eligible staff pool but may not necessarily be submitted.			
Category A submitted staff	Category A eligible staff who have been identified as having significant responsibility for research on the census date.			
Codes of practice	Each institution making a submission is required to develop, document and apply a code of practice on determining who is an independent researcher and the selection of outputs in their REF submissions. Those institutions not submitting 100% of Category A eligible staff will be required to include the criteria and processes, agreed with staff, for identifying staff with significant responsibility for research.			
Early career researcher (ECR)	Category A staff who started their careers as independent researchers on or after 1 August 2016.			
Independent researcher	Independent researchers undertake self-directed research, rather than carrying out another individual's research programme.			
Output	The product of research, as defined in the REF. An underpinning principle of the REF is that all forms of research output will be assessed on a fair and equal basis.			
Publication period	Outputs submitted to REF2021 must have been first made publicly available between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2020.			
Research	For the purposes of REF, research is defined as 'a process of investigation leading to new insights, effectively shared.'			
Research assistant	Academic staff whose primary employment function is 'research only' and who are employed to carry out another individual's research programme rather than as independent researchers in their own right.			
Significant responsibility for research	Staff with significant responsibility for research are those for whom explicit time and resources are made available to engage actively in independent research, and that is an expectation of their job role.			

Staff circumstances	Measures to take account of the effect of individuals' circumstances on research productivity during the period. These measures will allow an optional reduction in the unit's output requirement. They also allow an individual to be returned without the required minimum of one output without penalty in the assessment, where the circumstances have had an exceptional effect on productivity, so that the staff member has not been able to produce an eligible output.
Submission	A submission comprises a complete set of data about staff, outputs, impact and the research environment, returned by an HEI in any of the 34 UOAs.

ANNEX D: RCA COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

No bi ki politik ki constitu of tanda.		

ANNEX E: RCA COMMITTEES INVOLVED IN REF2021

ANNEX F: TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR KEY COMMITTEES

REF STRATEGY GROUP TERMS OF REFERENCE

Membership (from June 2019):

- Deputy Vice Chancellor and Provost
- Director, Research and Innovation (Chair)
- Head of Research Development (Deputy Chair)
- Deputy Director of Human Resources
- Research Professor
- RCA Council Member, RCA
- 2x Deans of Schools
- 1x Director Research Centre
- External Advisor

In attendance:

- Research Information Manager
- RKE Administrator (secretariat)

The membership may change or staff co-opted into the Group depending on the point in the REF cycle to reflect the key tasks of the REF Strategy Group.

Responsibilities:

- Agree the RCA's REF2021 strategy (informed by the RKEI Strategy 2016-2021 and RCA Strategy 2016-2021)
- Establish milestones leading up to the REF2021 submission
- Oversee sub-groups focussing on specific issues, e.g. establishing repository guidance and training; developing the RCA's Code of Practice for REF2021
- Develop REF2021 guidance materials
- Establish a Gantt chart/timeline for activities leading to the REF2021 submission
- Approval of RCA Code of Practice for agreement by RKEI Strategy Committee and Senate (with noting by Academic Standards Committee and Equality & Diversity Committee)
- Advisory and decision-making responsibilities with regards to determining independent researcher status, final selection of outputs and impact case studies, and final oversight of the RCA's environment statement

Ways of working:

- Established 2016
- The REF Strategy Group will generally meet once per month, with the frequency changing closer to the REF submission date.

×
ANNEX G: RCA REF2021 OUTPUT SELECTION

ANNEX H: STAFF CIRCUMSTANCES DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Absence from work due to secondments or career breaks	Absences must be outside the HE sector and in which the individual did not undertake academic research. (Annex L, paragraph 3)
Circumstances requiring a judgement	Where staff have had 'other circumstances' during the period, the institution will need to make a judgement about the effect of the circumstances in terms of the equivalent period of time absent, apply the reductions, and provide a brief rationale for the judgement. (Annex L, paragraph 16)
Combining circumstances	Where individuals have had a combination of circumstances that have a defined reduction in outputs, these may be accumulated. However, only one circumstance should be taken into account for any period of time during which they took place simultaneously. (Annex L, paragraphs 10-11)
Early Career Researchers (ECR)	ECRs are defined as members of staff who meet the definition of Category A eligible on the census date, and who started their careers as independent researchers on or after 1 August 2016. For the purposes of the REF, an individual is deemed to have started their career as an independent researcher from the point at which: a. they held a contract of employment of 0.2 FTE or greater,
	which included a primary employment function of undertaking 'research' or 'teaching and research', with any HEI or other organisation, whether in the UK or overseas, and
	b. they first met the definition of an independent researcher.
	The following do not meet the definition of an ECR (this list is not exhaustive):
	a. Staff who first acted as an independent researcher while at a previous employer – whether another HEI, business or other organisation in the UK or elsewhere – before 1 August 2016, with a contract of 0.2 FTE or greater.
	b. Staff who first acted as an independent researcher before 1 August 2016 and have since had a career outside of research or an extended break from their research career, before returning to research work. Career breaks outside the HE sector are included in the types of circumstances where requests for output reductions may be made.
	c. Research assistants who would not normally meet the definition of an independent researcher.
	(paragraphs 148-149)

From the REF2021 Guidance on Submissions:

Qualifying periods of family-related leave	 This includes: Statutory maternity leave or statutory adoption leave taken substantially during the period 1 January 2014 to 31 July 2020, regardless of the length of the leave. Additional paternity or adoption leave, or shared parental leave, lasting for four months or more, taken substantially during the period 1 January 2014 to 31 July 2020.
	(Annex L, paragraph 6)

ANNEX I: RCA ACADEMIC EMPLOYMENT FRAMEWORK

ANNEX J: RCA EQUALITY & DIVERSITY POLICY AND OBJECTIVES

ANNEX K: RCA REF2021 APPEALS PROCESS

×

eret is shpiped. The lie wy has have reced, or annel, or datast, birdy that its isk point-to be conserved and instance

ANNEX L: RCA REF2021 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

To be updated as EIAs are completed. Please see Section 4.6 above for more information.