REF 2021 HEI Debrief 17 May 2022

Dr Kim Hackett REF Director



REF2021

Follow on Twitter @REF_2021

Email: info@ref.ac.uk

Agenda



Introduction - David Sweeney

> Overview of key messages – Kim Hackett

- > Reflections from panel chairs
- ➢ Q&A with panel chairs

Looking ahead – Q&A with funding bodies and FRAP

What was assessed



Panels assessed the **overall quality** of each submission



REF 2021 submissions



- Changes in submission of staff and outputs across 2014 and 2021 have led to significant increase in volume of staff returned
- Size of increase varies across main panels with differing effects on total outputs and case studies submitted, compared to REF 2014

	Submissions (2021)	Submissions (2014)	Cat A submitted FTE (2021)	Cat A submitted FTE (2014)	% change in FTE	Number of outputs (2021)	Number of outputs (2014)	Number of impact case studies (2021)	Number of impact case studies (2014)	
UK sector	1,878	1,911	76,132	52,061	46%	185,594	191,950	6,781	6,975	
Main Panel A	312	312	19,983	13,608	47%	48,872	50,298	1,460	1,621	
Main Panel B	354	403	18,393	13,347	38%	45,153	49,317	1,483	1,667	
Main Panel C	658	614	23,451	14,413	63%	56,649	52,212	2,260	2,040	
Main Panel D	554	582	14,305	10,691	34%	34,920	39,321	1,578	1,647	

REF provides UK picture of world-leading research, REF2021 produced across breadth of universities' activity



Assessment outcomes based on submission of all staff with significant responsibility for research in participating UK universities

Greater flexibility for universities to identify highest-quality examples

Rule changes for REF 2021 have allowed greater **REF2021** capture of world-leading outputs

Most significant increase in proportion of 4-star activity seen in assessment of research outputs
 Aligns with wider evidence, indicating UK continues to increase share of highly-cited papers



Excellence is widely distributed – across UK nations and English regions

Regional average percentage 4*+3* (FTE weighted) - Overall



Over 80% world-leading and internationally excellent research across all regions and UK nations

REF2021

Excellence is widely distributed – and across many and diverse universities



- Top quarter of universities with at least 41% world-leading; three quarters of universities with over 15% world-leading; world-leading quality identified in 99% of universities
- > Excellence was found in institutions with submissions of all sizes

Institutional average percentage 4* (FTE weighted) by total size of institutions' submissions



The outcomes provide a significant degree of differentiation, similarly to REF 2014

> Change in share at the top end reflecting strong performance across wider set of universities



Institutional share of 4* quality and volume

For each exercise institutions are listed in descending order and therefore the order of institutions is different for REF 2014 and REF 2021

REF2021

Institutions in descending order

The assessment framework enabled the diversity **REF2021** of research units to be recognised

Quality level 4* 3* 2* 1	*	U										
Outputs profile by staff circumstances												
All staff												
Staff with circumstances												
	0	10	20	30	40	50 %	60	70	80	90	100	

- > Outputs of staff with circumstances found to be of equally high quality
- New approach to accounting for circumstances supported the inclusion of staff without penalty
- > Excellence in outputs was noted across all types and forms of research
- > Examples of excellence in interdisciplinary research seen across sub-panels

Impressive evidence of wider benefits to economy and society

Outstanding case studies

- 50% impact judged 'outstanding' (4*)
- 38% 'very considerable' (3*)

Diverse benefits

 Assessment captured diverse and rich ways research benefitting society

Enabling impact

REF2021

- Rich evidence of investment in realising impact from research
- Reflected in established partnerships and robust evidence in submitted impacts

Research environment



- Submitted evidence in environment continues to demonstrate vitality and sustainability of research, with improvements in evidence noted
- Across all submissions:
 - £46 billion research income reported
 - > 162,000 research degrees awarded



The resilience and investment of universities and REF2021 panels was central to robust completion of the assessment in the face of Covid disruption

> Many significant contributions captured in impact

- Universities engaged readily and responsively to development of mitigations during final months of submission period
- Panels recognised effects of Covid on submission preparation and took account of this in assessment where appropriate
- Panels responded flexibly to changes required to assessment process, including to the timetable and format of meetings, to deliver robust assessment

Institutional-level environment pilot **REF2021**

Stern review recommendation to include institutional-level (IL) environment submission.

- ➢Pilot panel (ILEPP) undertaking assessment of IL submissions:
- Assessment on Strategy, People and, Income, infrastructure and facilities sections.
 - ILEPP will agree profile based on assessment for each HEI
 - Confidential feedback to be provided to HEIs
 - IL triangulation with sub-panel assessment
- ►ILEPP continues to June
- ➢ILEPP report and recommendations to be published summer 2022

Further information



- <u>www.ref.ac.uk</u> includes:
 - All results data
 - Summary data by UOA and further analysis
 - Panel overview reports (18 May 2022)
- Confidential feedback will be sent to HEIs in June 2022
- Submissions and further reports will be published in June 2022
- ILEPP report and recommendations to be published summer 2022
- For information on the development of plans for future of research assessment, see: <u>https://www.jisc.ac.uk/future-research-assessment-programme</u>
- For information about research funding, contact relevant funding body